Interview Summary	10/722,118	MOLOTSI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	KELLY CAMPEN	3691	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) <u>KELLY CAMPEN</u> .	(3) <u>Dan W. Parker</u> .		
(2) Robert Lord.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 21 April 2009.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)  Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: Shutes of record.			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Discussed proposed amendments to claim 1 to overcome 35 USC 101 rejections.</u> <u>Discussed two different users and template information independently stored from the data as possibly defining over the prior art, subject to an updated search.</u> (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILLING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			
/Kelly Campen/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3691			

Application No.

Applicant(s)